

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/813,759

Applicant(s)

YAGI ET AL.

Examiner

Rudy Zervigon

Art Unit

1763

**All Participants:**(1) Rudy Zervigon.(2) Mark L. Maki.**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 June 2004**Time:** 10:30-11:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

1, 6, and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Bennin et al (USPat. 5,839,193) and Horiuchi (USPat. 6,093,476)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Part I.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPF Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: The rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennin et al (USPat. 5,839,193) in view of Horiuchi (USPat. 6,093,476). Mr. Maki and I discussed the starting three layer laminate structure of Bennin and how Bennin's disclosure is not specific on how Bennin's third layer is formed. The Examiner then noted that the second reference to Horiuchi teaches film formation by the specific semi-additive method as claimed. The Examiner agreed that Applicant's after-final amendment changing "forming" to "adding" is a more specific action that the Examiner believes would require additional consideration of the references and may likely require an updated search. The Examiner believes that the rejected claims are supported by the disclosures..